Automated feedback for intelligent tutoring systems



Bastiaan Heeren

Informatica studiedag, 6-9-2019, Utrecht

Open Universiteit

```
13
           _____
14
     -- Template for the hypothesis testing strategy
15
16
    hypothesisStrategy :: LabeledStrategy ComponentSet
17
    hypothesisStrategy = label "Hypothesis testing" $
        label "Preparation" (whileNotReady $ choice $
18
19
          [ addHypothesesRule, addH0FromHARule, addH0FromHAEqualSignRule, addHARule
20
          , addHypothesesChiSquaredRule
          , addAlphaRule, determineSided, chooseTTestRule
21
22
          , chooseTTestTwoRule, chooseTTestPairedRule, chooseZTestRule
23
          , chooseRPearsonRule, chooseAnovaRule, chooseChiSquaredRule
          ] ++ sampleStatistics)
24
        .*.
25
26
        check (\cs -> all (derived cs `contains`) [NullHypothesis, AlternativeHypothesis])
        .*.
27
28
        label "Computation" (whileNotReady $
29
          (check (\cs -> derived cs `doesNotContain` TestValue) .*.
             (addTestFormulaRule . |. choice sampleStatistics))
30
           . (check allowCriticalRoute .*. choice
31
             [ addTestValueRule, addRejectionRule
32
33
             , lookupZValueRule, lookupTValueRule, lookupRValueRule, lookupFValueRule, lookupChiValueRule
34
             1)
35
           . . (check allowPValueRoute .*. choice
36
             [ computePValueZTest, computePValueTTest
37
             ])
38
          )
39
        .*.
40
        check (\cs -> derived cs `contains` TestValue &&
41
                     derived cs `contains` Critical | derived cs `contains` PValue)
        .*.
42
       label "Conclusion" (
43
44
          whileNotReady (criticalConclusionRule . . addConclusionPValueRule)
          .*.
45
46
          (hypothesesConclusionCriticalRule . . hypothesesConclusionPValueRule))
47
     where
        sampleStatistics =
48
          [ addNRule, addAverageRule, addVarianceRule, addStandardDeviationRule
49
                                                                                                      2
```









www.numworx.nl

$$\sqrt{10}$$
 10°
 10°





Overview of this talk

- 1. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)
 - Domain reasoners
 - Feedback services
- 2. Expert domain knowledge
 - Problem-solving procedures
 - Granularity (step-size)
- 3. Examples of domain reasoners

Motivation:

- 1. Simplify construction of ITSs (which are complex software systems)
- 2. Represent expert domain knowledge explicitly (for better feedback)
- 3. Apply approach to a wide range of problem domains Open Universiteit

www.ou.n

Research team

💡 Ideas

- Home What we do Projects People
- More information



Bastiaan Heeren

Open University of the Netherlands & Utrecht University

Bastiaan is the core designer and developer of the ideas software.



Johan Jeuring

Utrecht University & Open University of the Netherlands

Johan started with the Ideas project more than a decade ago. He is involved in many of the subprojects.



Josje Lodder

Open University of the Netherlands

For her PhD, Josje works on several tutors related to logic. Many more scientists collaborate

Started around 2006

>20 BSc students

>20 MSc students



Hieke Keuning

Windesheim University of Applied Sciences & Open University of the Netherlands

For her PhD, Hieke works on tutors for (imperative) programming.



Alex Gerdes

Gothenburg University ☑ ♥ ☎

Alex is the main architect of the functional programming tutor Ask-Elle.



Alejandro Serrano Mena

ionia

Utrecht University

Alejandro works on Ask-Elle. 13,269 SVN commits, by 52 authors





Part 1: Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)



Inner and outer loops (VanLehn 2006)

The Behavior of Tutoring Systems

Kurt VanLehn, LRDC, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA VanLehn@pitt.edu

Abstract. Tutoring systems are described as having two loops. The outer loop executes once for each task, where a task usually consists of solving a complex, multi-step problem. The inner loop executes once for each step taken by the student in the solution of a task. The inner loop can give feedback and hints on each step. The inner loop can also assess the student's evolving competence and update a student model, which is used by the outer loop to select a next task that is appropriate for the student. For those who know little about tutoring systems, this description is meant as a demystifying introduction. For tutoring system experts, this description illustrates that although tutoring systems differ widely in their task domains, user interfaces, software structures, knowledge bases, etc., their behaviors are in fact quite similar.

Keywords. Intelligent tutoring systems, knowledge components, learning events, tutoring

- Outer loop: solving one task after another
- Inner loop: the steps for solving one complex, multi-step problem



Four component ITS architecture



- Classical structure of an ITS (with four components)
- In practice, often one monolithic system



Domain reasoner

A domain reasoner is the part of the system that can 'reason about the problems':

- the objects in a domain (e.g. expressions, equations)
- how these objects can be manipulated
- how to guide manipulation to reach a certain goal
- For math, computer algebra systems (CAS) can do part of the job;
 - they are great in evaluating expressions, but
 - built-in equality can be very subtle
 - not designed for providing feedback



Providing feedback

Narciss (2008) distinguishes the following feedback types:

- Knowledge of performance
 - → E.g. percentage of correctly solved tasks
- Knowledge of result/response (KR)
 - → Correct/incorrect
- Knowledge of the correct response (KCR)
 - → Provides the correct answer
- Elaborated feedback
 - → Additional information besides KR and KCR
- Answer-until-correct and Multiple-try feedback



Feedback services

- A domain reasoner provides feedback services:
 - Intuitively, just request-response communication
 - Services are derived from the feedback types
 - Services for the inner loop and for the outer loop

Examples of services:

- Am I finished?
- Give me a next-step hint
- Give me a worked-out solution
- Is my step correct (step diagnosis)?
 - If yes: does the step bring me closer to a solution?
 - If no: is it a common mistake?





Part 2:

Expert domain knowledge



Ideas framework

Generic framework for constructing domain reasoners

- Developed in Haskell
- Size: 12,397 LOC
- Open source
- Independent of problem domain
- http://ideas.cs.uu.nl/tutorial/

ideas: Feedback services for intelligent tutoring systems

Search

[apache, education, library] [Propose Tags]

Ideas (Interactive Domain-specific Exercise Assistants) is a joint research project between the Open University of the Netherlands and Utrecht University. The project's goal is to use software and compiler technology to build state-of-theart components for intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) and learning environments. The ideas software package provides a generic framework for constructing the expert knowledge module (also known as a domain reasoner) for an ITS or learning environment. Domain knowledge is offered as a set of feedback services that are used by external tools such as the digital mathematical environment (DME), MathDox, and the Math-Bridge system. We have developed several domain reasoners based on this framework, including reasoners for mathematics, linear algebra, logic, learning Haskell (the Ask-Elle programming tutor) and evaluating Haskell expressions, and for practicing communication skills (the serious game Communicate!).

Modules

[Index] Ideas Common

Versions [faq] 0.5.8, 0.6, 0.7, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.3.1, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, **1.7**

Change log CHANGELOG.txt

Dependencies

base (>=4.8 && <5), blaze-builder (>=0.4), bytestring, case-insensitive, containers, Diff, directory, filepath, HDBC, HDBC-sqlite3, http-types, network, parsec, QuickCheck (>=2.8), random, semigroups (==0.18.*), streaming-commons (<0.2), time, uniplate, wai, wl-pprint [details]

License

Apache-2.0

Copyright (c) 2018

Author Bastiaan Heeren, Alex Gerdes, Johan Jeuring

Maintainer bastiaan.heeren@ou.nl

Category Education Home page

💡 Ideas

Interactive Domain-specific Exercise Assistants



Interactive explorer for domain reasoners

V IDEAS	Index	Exercises 44 Services 42	
Exercise			
Information	I.	solve a linear equation	
Strategy		Derivation	
Rules		Derivation	
Constraints		3/4*x-(x-1) == 3+2[1/2]*(x-1)	
Examples		$\Rightarrow \underline{algebra.equations.linear.remove-div}, factor=4$ -x+4 == 12+10*(x-1)	_
Derivations		⇒ <u>algebra.equations.linear.distr-times</u>	
Test report		$-x+4 == 12+10*x-10$ $\Rightarrow \underline{algebra.equations.linear.merge}$ $-x+4 == 2+10*x$ $\Rightarrow \underline{algebra.equations.linear.var-left}, term=10*x$	
		-11*x+4 == 2 ⇒ algebra.equations.coverup.onevar.plus	<
		$-11*x == -2$ $\Rightarrow algebra.equations.coverup.times$ $x == 2/11$	-

version 1.6.1 (revision 07564cf39b3905f72d0eb5137413719613b4a95d, Mon Dec 4 09:37:03 2017 +0100)

Rules



- Rules specify the steps (manipulations) that are allowed
 - rewriting steps
 - refinement steps

Distributivity rule: $\forall abc . a(b + c) \rightarrow ab + ac$ Example: $5(x + 2) \rightarrow 5x + 10$ Preferably specified as a rewrite rule (for further analysis): distr = rule "distr" \$ \a b c -> a*(b+c) :~> a*b + a*c Rules are used for: - recognizing steps

suggesting possible next steps

Implementing rewrite rules

distr :: Rule Expr
distr = rule "distr" \$ \a b c -> a*(b+c) :~> a*b + a*c

 Meta-variables are introduced by a lambda abstraction?

Type-index datatypes approach supports:

- Knuth-Bendix completion (analysis)
- AC-rewriting
- Rule inversion
- Automated testing
- Documentation (pretty-printing)

JFP 20 (3 & 4): 375-413, 2010. ⓒ Cambridge University Press 2010 doi:10.1017/S0956796810000183 First published online 27 September 2010	375
A lightweight approach to datatype-generi rewriting	с
THOMAS VAN NOORT Institute for Computing and Information Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands (e-mail: thomas@cs.ru.nl)	
ALEXEY RODRIGUEZ YAKUSHEV and STEFAN HOLDERMANS Vector Fabrics, Paradijslaan 28, 5611 KN Eindhoven, The Netherlands (e-mail: [alexev.stefan]@vectorTabrics.com)	
JOHAN JEURING	
Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80.089, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands and School of Computer Science, Open University of the Netherlands, P.O. Box 2960, 6401 DL Heerlen, The Netherlands (e-mail: johanj@cs.uu.nl)	
BASTIAAN HEEREN	
School of Computer Science, Open University of the Netherlands, P.O. Box 2960, 6401 DL Heerlen, The Netherlands (e-mail: bastiaan.heeren@ou.nl)	
JOSÉ PEDRO MAGALHÃES	
Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80.089, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands (e-mail: jpm@cs.uu.nl)	
Abstract	
Term-rewriting systems can be expressed as generic programs parameterised over the of the terms being rewritten. Previous implementations of generic rewriting libraries i users to either adapt the datatypes that are used to describe these terms or to specify rules as functions. These are fundamental limitations: the former implies a lot of we the user, while the latter makes it hard if not impossible to document, test, and a rewrite rules. In this article, we demonstrate how to overcome these limitations by ressential use of type-indexed datatypes. Our approach is lightweight in that it is e expressible in Haskell with GADTs and type families and can be readily packaged f with contemporary Haskell distributions.	shape require rewrite ork for inalyze making entirely for use

1 Introduction

Consider a Haskell datatype Prop for representing formulae of propositional logic,

data Prop = Var String | $T | F | Not Prop | Prop : \land$: Prop | Prop : \lor : Prop,

Problem-solving procedures



Problem-solving procedures describe sequences of rule applications that solve a particular task

Example procedure for adding two fractions:

- 1. find the lowest common denominator (LCD)
- 2. convert fractions to LCD as denominator
- 3. add the resulting fractions
- 4. simplify the result

Problem-solving procedures are used for:

- recognizing the strategy
- detecting detours
- providing next-step hints
- providing worked-out examples



Problem-solving procedures



We have developed a domain-specific language for specifying procedures: sequence, choice, repeat, try, prefer, somewhere, etc.

FindLCD ; many (somewhere Convert) ; Add ; try Simplify

Resulting in:



Theoretical foundations



Problem-solving procedures:

- are inspired by context-free grammars
- have been formalized by a trace-based semantics (CSP)
- allow new composition operators (interleaving, topological sorts)
- enable various tree traversal strategies (topdown, outermost)

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{T}\left(s\,;\,t\right) &= \left\{x \mid x \in \mathcal{T}\left(s\right), \checkmark \notin x\right\} \cup \left\{xy \mid x\checkmark \in \mathcal{T}\left(s\right), y \in \mathcal{T}\left(t\right)\right\} & \text{(sequence)} \\ \mathcal{T}\left(s \mid t\right) &= \mathcal{T}\left(s\right) \cup \mathcal{T}\left(t\right) & \text{(choice)} \\ \mathcal{T}\left(\mu x.f(x)\right) &= \mathcal{T}\left(f\left(\mu x.f(x)\right)\right) & \text{(fixed point)} \\ \mathcal{T}\left(r\right) &= \left\{\epsilon, r, r\checkmark\right\} & \text{(rule)} \\ \mathcal{T}\left(succeed\right) &= \left\{\epsilon,\checkmark\right\} & \text{(success)} \\ \mathcal{T}\left(fail\right) &= \left\{\epsilon\right\} & \text{(failure)} \end{array}$$

Normal forms (equivalence classes)



Normal forms define classes of expressions that are treated the same, and select one canonical element for such a class

Example: $10 + 5x \approx 5x + 10 \approx 5x + 5 \cdot 2$

- In math: associativity, commutativity, calculations, simplifications, etc.
- Used for relations such as equal, equivalent, similar, indistinguishable
- The granularity (step size) of a task is often left implicit

Normal forms are used for:

- recognizing steps
- rewriting atypical expressions, e.g. 4 + (-5)
- deciding whether finished or not



Buggy rules



Buggy rules describe common mistakes and enable specialized feedback messages when detected

 $\forall abc . a(b + c) \rightarrow ab + c$ Buggy distribution: Example:

 $5(x + 3) \rightarrow 5x + 3$

Sign mistake:

 $5x = 2x + 3 \rightarrow 7x = 3$

Buggy rules are often associated with a sound rule

Buggy rules are used for:

detecting common mistakes







Constraints have a relevance condition and a satisfaction condition: on violation, a special message can be reported

Example: if the equation is linear (relevance), then the equation's righthand side should not contain x (satisfaction)

Constraint message: the equation is not yet solved

Based on theory of learning from performance errors (Ohlsson 1992)

Constraints are used for:

- checking properties or attributes
- reporting violations



Feedback on the structure of hypothesis tests



Feedback on the structure of hypothesis tests

The tutor's diagnose feedback service combines several knowledge components:





Part 3: Examples of domain reasoners



Advise-Me: project goal



- Automatic Diagnostics with Intermediate Steps in Mathematics Education
- Assessment of free-text input for math story problems:
 - Set up algebraic expressions and simplify them
 - Set up equations and inequalities and solve them
- Task design resources:
 - Pépite materials (Paris)
 - CITO (Arnhem)
 - Freudenthal Institute (Utrecht)
 - USAAR (Saarbrucken)

On your right hand side you see the first three of a series Matryoshka dolls. The puppets fit into each other, due to a decreasing height. The biggest puppet is 32 cm high. Each next puppet is 25% smaller than the previous one. In this sequence, there are no puppets smaller than 6 cm.

How many puppets are there in this series? Write down your intermediate steps.



Open Universiteit

This project has received funding from the European Union's ERASMUS+ Programme, Strategic Partnerships for school education for the development of innovation, under grant agreement number 2016-1-NL01-KA201-023022.

Domain reasoner for axiomatic proofs



Domain reasoner for functional programming

A	ASK-Elle	
Il Exercises (programming list creation dupli repli functions	Description Write a function that reverses a list: myreverse :: [a] -> [a]. For example: Data.List> myreverse "A man, a plan, a canal, panama!" "!amanap ,lanac a ,nalp a ,nam A" Data.List> myreverse [1,2,3,4] [4,3,2,1]	You can follow one of the following strategies: Introduce a helper function that uses an accumulating paramete - Hint 1 (2) Introduce the constructor pattern [].
 compress encode manipulation dropevery myreverse pack removeat rotate split projection butlast elementat mylast slice properties mylength palindrome 	Editor	Hint 2

Tutoring system to learn code refactoring

Choose exercise:

ref.sumvalues

Start exercise

Description: The sumValues method adds up all numbers from the array parameter,

or only the positive numbers if the positivesOnly boolean parameter is set to true.

The solution is already correct, but can you improve this program?



Tool based on rules extracted from input by 30 experienced teachers



Domain reasoners for communication skills



OU Master theses about domain reasoners

Practice with the evaluation of a Haskell Expression

laskell Ex	pression		Output
Start	sum ([3,7] ++ [5])	Select -	Steps remaining: 11
Options Outern Innerm	nost evaluation strategy ost evaluation strategy		Apply the append rule to concatenate two lists Apply the append rule to concatenate two lists Apply the sum rule to sum up all elements of a list Next rule that should be applied according the strategy Apply the sum rule to sum up all elements of a list Next derivation step:
Diagnos	foldI (+) 0 (3 : ([7] ++ [5]))		fold(+) 0 ([3,7] ++ [5])
lints Show nu	Imber of steps left Show all rules that can be a	applied	Apply the append rule to concatenate two lists
Show ne	ext rule Show next step Do next step		
Derivation			
foldl (+ foldl (+ foldl (+	<pre>x, y + (o) popy the sum rule to sum up all elements of a list }) 0 ([3,7] ++ [5]) popy the append rule to concatenate two lists }) 0 (3 : ([7] ++ [5]))</pre>	Y Y	
		E	в
		G	

Figure 1: Constructing a square using circles and lines (screenshot from GeoGe-

t ↓ Programming Tutor Choose exercise: Type code here: For If If-else While Clear java.sumoddnrsunder100 1 int sum = 0; 2 for (int i = 1; i < ?; ?)</pre> 3 - { 5 } Description: Calculate and print the sum of all odd positive numbers under 100. Check ? All hints Hint Options · Create a loop that increments with 2 loop from 1 to 3 to 5... stopping at 100

MicK About	
Microcontroller and programming language	
ATmega328P ANSI-C	
Values of definitions, registers and volatile variables for this m UCSR0A = 0b00001111 UDRE0 = 5	crocontroller and programming language:
while(! ((UCSR0A & (1 << UDRE0)))) { ; }	
while(! (0b00001111 & (1 << 5))) { ; }	
while(! (0000001111 & (000000001 << 5))) { ; }	
while(!(0b00001111 & 0b0010000)){;}	_
<pre>while(!(0b00001111 & (0b0000000 << 5))) {; } while(!(0b00001111 & 0b00100000)) {; } while(!(false)) {; }</pre>	-

When to continue looping? Expand
 Create a loop and test for odd numbers with % Expand

bra – a mathematics tool that allows drawing of geometric structures).
That is correct.
You have finished the task successfully!
Time Olymony (2014, TEDIE), History (2014, CEEDC), Steephone Thile and (2017), Huster A reprds (201



- 1. Gideon Teeuwen (2016). Comparing architectural styles for distributed expert knowledge modules in intelligent tutoring systems
- 2. Johan Eikelboom (2017), Towards lightweight student modelling for Functional Programming Tutors
- **3.** Niels Kolthoff (2019). ITS Authoring Integrating a distributed expert knowledge module into existing authoring tools
- 4. Rob Smit (in progress). A domain-specific language for generating feedback in Intelligent Tutoring Systems
- Cor Zijlstra (in progress). Student interaction module Architecture trade-offs for a logic student interaction module
 Open Universiteit

www.ou.nl

Trends and challenges

- Authoring intelligent tutoring system
 - Literature reports 200-300 authoring hours for 1 hour of instruction
 - We believe software technology can help
- Data-driven intelligent tutoring system
 - Use AI techniques to generate feedback from collected data
 - Raises questions about the role of expert domain knowledge
- Further adaptation and personalization
 - Models for mastery learning (e.g. Bayesian knowledge tracing)
- Designing tools for less-structured problem domains
 - For example, domains of software design and learning languages



Take-home messages

- 1. Domain reasoners with feedback services simplify the construction of ITSs
 - Services result in loosely coupled, reusable software components
 - Services can be derived from popular feedback types
- 2. Represent expert domain knowledge explicitly (for better feedback)
 - Rules, problem-solving procedures, normal forms, buggy rules, constraints
 - The step-size of a task matters
- 3. The presented approach can be applied to a wide range of problem domains

Websites:

- http://ideas.cs.uu.nl/
- http://advise-me.ou.nl/

