

# Adapting Mathematical Domain Reasoners

Bastiaan Heeren<sup>1</sup>    Johan Jeuring<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Open Universiteit Nederland

<sup>2</sup> Universiteit Utrecht, The Netherlands

9 July 2010 (MKM 2010)

Paris, France



- ▶ Mathematical learning environments typically offer a wide variety of interactive exercises
- ▶ Exercise-specific parts are often delegated to specialized **domain reasoners**
- ▶ Design principles for instructive feedback:
  - Solve problems as the learner does
  - Show how the software solves problems
  - Make the system customizable
- ▶ Different groups of users have different customization requirements



- ▶ Mathematical learning environments typically offer a wide variety of interactive exercises
- ▶ Exercise-specific parts are often delegated to specialized **domain reasoners**
- ▶ Design principles for instructive feedback:
  - Solve problems as the learner does
  - Show how the software solves problems
  - Make the system customizable
- ▶ Different groups of users have different customization requirements

Examples of environments that use our domain reasoner...



DWO Math Enviroment - Mozilla Firefox

Bestand Bewerken Beeld Geschiedenis Bladwijzers Extra Help

DWO Math Enviroment

Digitale Wiskunde Omgeving Freudenthal Instituut

>> B: Examples quadreq Niet ingelogd

## 4. quadreq 3

Inloggen

Los de vergelijking op.

$x(2x - 4) = 0$   
 $x = 0$  of  $2x - 4 = 0$   
 $x = 0$  of  $2x = 4$   
 $x = 0$  of  $x = 2$

de factoren op 0 stellen  
 constante termen naar rechts brengen  
 variabele vrijmaken door beide kanten te delen  
 correct opgelost

Opdracht: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Score: 10 totaal: 10



The screenshot shows two browser windows. The main window, titled "Exercise system test - Mozilla Firefox", displays the ActiveMath interface. The sidebar on the left lists various exercises, with "IDEAS DR" selected. The main content area shows the "Introduction" for the "IDEAS DR" exercise system test, which is a demonstration of IDEAS domain reasoning services. An inset window, titled "ActiveMath - Oefening - Mozilla Firefox", shows a specific exercise. The exercise asks to solve the equation  $x^3 - 5x^2 - 6x = 0$ . A hint box indicates that the next correct step is  $(x = 0) \vee (x^2 - 5x - 6 = 0)$ . Below the hint box is an input field and a "Hint" button. The "Input Editor" checkbox is checked, and the "Evaluator" and "Solution" buttons are also visible.

OU Exercise Assistant On-line - Mozilla Firefox

Bestand Bewerken Beeld Geschiedenis Bladvijzers Extra Help

OU Exercise Assistant On-line

OpenUniversiteitNederland

# EXERCISE ASSISTANT ONLINE

New exercise Easy Normal **Difficult** Rewrite rules About

## Exercise

$$\neg((q \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \rightarrow p))$$

## Working area: rewrite and submit

$$\neg(\neg q \vee r) \wedge \neg(r \vee p)$$

Back Ready **Submit**

Hint Step Auto step Worked-out exercise

Short-keys

- '=' key ↔
- '!' key →
- 'a' key ∧
- 'o' key ∨
- '.' key ¬

Keyboard

¬	∨	∧	→	↔
T	F	(	)	
p	q	r	s	

## Feedback

You rewrote  $\neg((\neg q \vee r) \wedge (r \vee p))$  into  $\neg(\neg q \vee r) \wedge \neg(r \vee p)$ . This is incorrect. Did you try to apply DeMorgan? Make sure that you replace AND by OR. Press the Back button and try again. You may ask for a hint.

Last message only

## Derivation

$$\neg((q \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \rightarrow p))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg q \vee r) \wedge (r \rightarrow p)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee p)$$


1. Introduction
2. Case studies
3. Concepts and representation of knowledge
4. Adaptation and configuration
5. Conclusions



## 1. Learners

- Customize exercises to their level of expertise

## 2. Teachers

- Specific requests how an exercise should be solved
- Good understanding of learner's capabilities
- Tailor exercises at a high level

## 3. Mathematical learning environments

- Front-end for practicing mathematical problem solving
- Many components are related to domain reasoners

## 4. Domain reasoners

- Reusability and maintainability of code
- Representation of (layered) mathematical knowledge





- ▶ **Learners** want to change level of detail (presented by the learning environment)
  - Smaller steps, e.g.  $\sqrt{20} = \sqrt{4}\sqrt{5} = 2\sqrt{5}$
  - Only final answer

$$2x^2 + 4x - 8 = 0$$

⇒ *simplify polynomial*

$$x^2 + 2x - 4 = 0$$

⇒ *quadratic formula ( $a = 1, b = 2, c = -4, D = 20$ )*

$$x = \frac{-2 + \sqrt{20}}{2} \text{ or } x = \frac{-2 - \sqrt{20}}{2}$$

⇒ *simplify roots*

$$x = -1 + \sqrt{5} \text{ or } x = -1 - \sqrt{5}$$



- ▶ **Teachers** want to control how an exercise should be solved
  - Technique used
  - Step size in worked-out solutions
- ▶ Example: enable or disable “completing the square”

$$x^2 + 4x - 4 = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  *complete square (lhs)*

$$x^2 + 4x + 4 = 8$$

$\Rightarrow$  *take square (lhs)*

$$(x + 2)^2 = 8$$

$\Rightarrow$  *square root (both sides)*

$$x + 2 = \sqrt{8} \text{ or } x + 2 = -\sqrt{8}$$

$\Rightarrow$  *simply roots*

$$x = -2 + 2\sqrt{2} \text{ or } x = -2 - 2\sqrt{2}$$



- ▶ Use distributivity rule on both sides (in a single step)

$$-3(x - 2) = 3(x + 4) - 7$$

$\Rightarrow$  *distributivity*

$$-3x + 6 = 3x + 12 - 7$$

- ▶ Use different number system
  - $\frac{7}{2}$  versus mixed number  $3\frac{1}{2}$
  - Complex numbers with existing rewrite strategy
- ▶ Approximate as a final step

...

$$x = -2 + 2\sqrt{2} \text{ or } x = -2 - 2\sqrt{2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  *approximate*

$$x \approx 0.828 \text{ or } x \approx -4.828$$



- ▶ Create new exercises by combining existing parts
  - Example: solve linear system using an augmented matrix
  - Example: solve an inequality by turning it into an equation
  - Apply a set of rules exhaustively
  
- ▶ Integration with other components
  - Customize level of detail in exercise according to information from the student model
  - Update student model with domain reasoner's diagnosis



## 1. Rewrite rules

- Specify how terms can be manipulated
- Can represent common misconceptions (a.k.a. buggy rules)

## 2. Rewrite strategies

- Guides the process of applying rewrite rules
- Defined in a strategy language, which is similar to tactic languages (theorem proving) and parser combinator libraries

## 3. Views and canonical forms

- For recognizing forms and defining notational conventions
- Composable into compound views
- Missing link between rules and strategies
- Examples:  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ ;  $3\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$

Instances of these concepts are grouped together in an **exercise**



- ▶ All three concepts also correspond to mathematical knowledge appearing in textbooks
- ▶ A representation is needed for each concept
  - For communicating the internal structure
  - For interpreting specifications and customizations passed to the domain reasoner



- ▶ All three concepts also correspond to mathematical knowledge appearing in textbooks
- ▶ A representation is needed for each concept
  - For communicating the internal structure
  - For interpreting specifications and customizations passed to the domain reasoner

Trade-offs in making exercise parts transparent:

- ▶ Restricts how parts are specified
- ▶ Hard to guarantee correctness, or to prevent excessive computations
- ▶ Can negatively affect performance



- ▶ Most rewrite rules can be specified by means of a left and right-hand side
- ▶ Also buggy rules can be specified this way
- ▶ Maps well onto OpenMath's Formal Mathematical Properties (FMP)

SQUARESIDES:  $a^2 = b^2 \rightsquigarrow a = b \text{ or } a = -b$

```
<FMP><OMOBJ xmlns="http://www.openmath.org/OpenMath" version="2.0"
cdbase="http://www.openmath.org/cd"><OMBIND><OMS cd="quant1"
name="forall"/><OMBVAR><OMV name="$0"/><OMV
name="$1"/></OMBVAR><OMA><OMS cd="relation1" name="eq"/><OMA><OMS
cd="relation1" name="eq"/><OMA><OMS cd="arith1" name="power"/><OMV
name="$0"/></OMI>2</OMI></OMA><OMA><OMS cd="arith1" name="power"/><OMV
name="$1"/></OMI>2</OMI></OMA></OMA><OMA><OMS cd="logic1"
name="or"/><OMA><OMS cd="relation1" name="eq"/><OMV name="$0"/><OMV
name="$1"/></OMA><OMA><OMS cd="relation1" name="eq"/><OMV
name="$0"/><OMA><OMS cd="arith1" name="unary_minus"/><OMV
name="$1"/></OMA></OMA></OMA></OMA></OMBIND></OMOBJ></FMP>
```





- ▶ Strategies are specified using a small set of combinators
- ▶ Combinator approach allows for an almost literal translation of strategy definitions
- ▶ Existing rules and substrategies can also be referenced by name

```
lineq = label "linear equation" (prepare <*> basic)  
  
prepare = label "prepare equation"  
          (repeat (<|> distribute <|> removeDivision))  
  
basic = label "basic equation"  
        (try varToLeft <*> try conToRight <*> try scaleToOne)
```



```
<label name="linear equation">
  <sequence>
    <label name="prepare equation">
      <repeat>
        <choice>
          <rule name="merge"/>
          <rule name="distribute"/>
          <rule name="removeDivision"/>
        </choice>
      </repeat>
    </label>
    <label name="basic equation">
      <sequence>
        <orElse>
          <rule name="varToLeft"/>
          <succeed/>
        </orElse>
        <orElse>
          <rule name="conToRight"/>
          <succeed/>
        </orElse>
        <orElse>
          <rule name="scaleToOne"/>
          <succeed/>
        </orElse>
      </sequence>
    </label>
  </sequence>
</label>
```



```
<label name="linear equation">
  <sequence>
    <label name="prepare equation">
      <repeat>
        <choice>
          <rule name="merge"/>
          <rule name="distribute"/>
          <rule name="removeDivision"/>
        </choice>
      </repeat>
    </label>
    <label name="basic equation">
      <sequence>
        <or else>
          <rule name="varToLeft"/>
          <succeed/>
        </or else>
        <or else>
          <rule name="conToRight"/>
          <succeed/>
        </or else>
        <or else>
          <rule name="scaleToOne"/>
          <succeed/>
        </or else>
      </sequence>
    </label>
  </sequence>
</label>
```

- ▶ Substrategies can be referenced by name

```
<label name="linear equation">
  <sequence>
    <strategy name="prepare equation"/>
    <strategy name="basic equation"/>
  </sequence>
</label>
```



- ▶ Use **transformations** to adapt an existing strategy
- ▶ Can be mixed freely with strategy combinators

## Transformations:

- **remove** part of a strategy (no longer used)
- **collapse** a substrategy into a rule (single step)
- **hide** a part in derivation (implicit steps)

- ▶ Inverse operations: **reinsert**, **expand**, and **reveal**
- ▶ These transformations address several of the case studies

```
<collapse target="basic equation">  
  <strategy name="linear equation"/>  
</collapse>
```



More examples of convenient strategy configurations:

- ▶ A certain rule or substrategy **must be used**
  - Example: using the technique of completing the square is mandatory
- ▶ It is **preferred** to use a particular rule
  - Same set of exercises can be solved
  - Example: try to factor polynomial before applying the quadratic formula
- ▶ **Replace** part of the strategy by another part



- ▶ Views are more difficult to represent: in general, a view is just a pair of functions
- ▶ Possibilities:
  - Define view as a **confluent set** of rewrite rules
  - Define view as a **rewrite strategy**
- ▶ Arrow combinators (for combining views) and application of higher-order views are represented like the strategy combinators

Motivation: to substitute views in exercises for adapting the mathematical domain reasoner.



- ▶ Ability to adapt mathematical domain reasoners is very desirable for **learning environments**, **teachers**, and **learners**
- ▶ Explicit representation is needed for all concepts that make up an exercise
- ▶ These representation can be communicated, but also interpreted
- ▶ Strategy transformations are convenient for configuring existing strategies

Implementation and project webpage at <http://ideas.cs.uu.nl/>

