Jilyan Bianca Dy, Daniel Stanley Tan, Kai-Lung Hua



Content-aware resizing



What is image retargeting or content-aware resizing?



Resizing







Changes the scale of objects



Distorts the shape if aspect ratio is not preserved

Image Retargeting

Idea: Replicate rows or columns of pixels



Seam Carving (Method used in Photoshop)



Stretching artifacts

Image Retargeting



Why not replicate patches / objects?

Image Retargeting



Problem: It has no idea what it should and should not replicate

Our goal / contribution

 Reduce generation of unnatural objects due to lack of semantic understanding



Our goal / contribution

- Reduce generation of unnatural objects due to lack of semantic understanding
- Allow user to have control over desired object
 - (i.e., changing object location, replicating, removing)



How can we de-associate the objects that can be replicated from those that should not be replicated?



Method



Output

How can inputting random noise produce anything useful?





 \tilde{x}_n - Generated image at scale nN = 7

MCGAN Model



Fine



- 1 Upsample
- $O(\cdot)$ Overlay Function
- G_n Generator
- D_n Discriminator



MCGAN Model



$\min_{G_n} \max_{D_n} \mathcal{L}_{adv}(G_n, D_n) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{rec}(G_n) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{DA}(G_n)$

- \mathcal{L}_{adv} Adversarial Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{rec} Reconstruction Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{DA} De-association Loss
- G_n Generator at scale n
- D_n Discriminator at scale n
- α , λ Hyperparameters



$\min_{G_n} \max_{D_n} \mathcal{L}_{adv}(G_n, D_n) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{rec}(G_n) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{DA}(G_n)$

- \mathcal{L}_{adv} Adversarial Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{rec} Reconstruction Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{DA} De-association Loss
- G_n Generator at scale n
- D_n Discriminator at scale n
- α , λ Hyperparameters

- Discriminator: distinguish real and fake
- Generator: fool discriminator into thinking generated image is real

We used the Wasserstein GAN with gradient penalty (WGAN-GP)

 $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim P_g}[D(\tilde{x})] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_r}[D(x)] + \lambda_{GP} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}}[(\|\nabla_{\hat{x}} D(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2]$



G: generate fake samples



G: generate fake samples that can fool *D D*: classify fake samples vs. real images

[Goodfellow et al. 2014]



$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{z,x}[\log D(x) + \log(1 - D(G(z)))]$

[Goodfellow et al. 2014]



$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{z,x}[\log D(x) + \log(1 - D(G(z)))]$



$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{z,x} [\log D(x) + \log(1 - \frac{D(G(z))}{fake})]$ [Goodfellow et al. 2014]



 $\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{z,x}[\log \frac{D(x)}{real} + \log(1 - D(G(z)))]$ real
[Goodfellow et al. 2014]





$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{z,x} [\log D(x) + \frac{\log(1 - D(G(z)))}{\text{Update } G}]$



Discriminator implicitly learns a measure of realism from examples of real and fake



$\min_{G_n} \max_{D_n} \mathcal{L}_{adv}(G_n, D_n) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{rec}(G_n) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{DA}(G_n)$

- \mathcal{L}_{adv} Adversarial Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{rec} Reconstruction Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{DA} De-association Loss
- G_n Generator at scale n
- D_n Discriminator at scale n
- α , λ Hyperparameters

- Discriminator: distinguish real and fake
- Generator: fool discriminator into thinking generated image is real

We used the Wasserstein GAN with gradient penalty (WGAN-GP)

 $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim P_g}[D(\tilde{x})] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_r}[D(x)] + \lambda_{GP} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}}[(\|\nabla_{\hat{x}} D(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2]$



 $\min_{G_n} \max_{D_n} \mathcal{L}_{adv}(G_n, D_n) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{rec}(G_n) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{DA}(G_n)$

- \mathcal{L}_{adv} Adversarial Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{rec} Reconstruction Loss
- \mathcal{L}_{DA} De-association Loss
- G_n Generator at scale n
- D_n Discriminator at scale n
- α , λ Hyperparameters

Ensures that we can reconstruct the original image

```
||G_n(z_n^{rec}, (\tilde{x}_{n+1}^{rec})\uparrow^r) - x_n||_2
```

- G_n Generator at scale n
- z_n^{rec} upsampled noise map
- (\tilde{x}_{n+1}^{rec}) \uparrow^r upsampled generated image from previous scale
- x_n downsampled original image for scale n



 Discriminator views the image as patches



- The discriminator associates the patches with each other
- Image is fake if for example patch a is not beside patch b



Similarly, discriminator

 associates the blue patches
 (object of interest) with the red
 patches (its surroundings)



De-Association Loss



 $\mathcal{L}_{DA} = ||(M_n \times \tilde{x}_n) - 0||_2$

Ablation Study

Input



Remove \mathcal{L}_{DA}



Remove Overlay









Setting Object Location







Setting Image Size and Object Location

Input







Object Replication

Training

Mask

Image

Mask







Testing







Object Removal

Training



Object Removal

Training



Testing







Mask

Image

Loose Masks

Fitted (Original)





Paint Brush









Box





Comparison: Seam Carving

Seam Carving



Input





Comparison: Seam Carving

Seam Carving



Input







Comparison: GAN-Based Approach InGAN (ICCV 2019)



Input

SinGAN (ICCV2019)







Comparison: GAN-Based Approach

Input



InGAN (ICCV 2019)







Comparison: GAN-Based Approach InGAN (ICCV 2019)



Input

SinGAN (ICCV2019)









There's still a lot of room for improvement!

Please contact me (<u>daniel.tan@ou.nl</u>) if you are interested or know someone who is interested in working on this!

Very suitable topic for Master's students!

- Not computationally expensive
 - Deep learning that only uses 1 image.
- Fast to train \Rightarrow Fast to iterate ideas
- Less competition (not overly hyped)

Thank you! Any questions?

Please contact me (<u>daniel.tan@ou.nl</u>) if you are interested or know someone who is interested in working on this!

Future ConCoNet: Class-Agnostic Counting with Positive and Negative Exemplars

Can count anything! Only specify what to count at test time



GT Count: 15







Pred Count: 14.62





Pred Count: 134.66

